(a) whether it is a fact that there is a subject quota system for selecting candidates at the Civil Services Preliminary Examination conducted by U.P.S.C.;

(b) if so, what are the reasons therefor; and

(c) what are the reasons for which the U.P.S.C. is not communicating to candidates the marks obtained by them in the Preliminary Examination?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) The Civil Services (Preliminary) Examination is meant to serve as a screening test only; the marks obtained by a candidates in the Preliminary Examination are not counted for determining their position in the merit list. Hence no mark-sheets are supplied to the candidates.

Cut in allocation of funds under the Rural Development Programme

514. SHRI R. T. GOPALAN: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any cut in the funds allocation under Rural Development Programmes during 1992-93;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof; and

(c) the details of the funds allotted under the different heads of the Programmes during 1991-92 and for 1992-93 Statewise and the amount released in the current year, so far?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI UTTAMBHAI H. PATEL): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c): Annexure showing State-wise allocation and release of funds under important Centrally sponsored rural development programmes in 1991-92 is enclosed [*See* Appendix CLXIII, Annexure No. 7]. State-wise allocation of funds for the year 1992-93 is yet to be finalised. But a sum of Rs. 1177.67 crores has been released to the State Governments in 1992-93 so far under different Centrally Sponsored Schemes of rural development.

Public Sources of Water for Villages

515. SHRI KAMAL MORARKA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the number of villages (statewise) without a single public source of water at the beginning and at the end of the Seventh Plan;

(b) what is the financial provision made and utilised for providing safe drinking water to villagers;

(c) how many villages are still without public sources of water;

(d) targets fixed for 1991-92 and 1992-93 alongwith funds allotted for this purpose?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI UTTAMBHAI H. PATEL): (a) The information is given in the statement enclosed. [*See* below]

(b) Safe Drinking Water facilities are provided in rural areas under the State Sector Minimum Needs Programme, Centrally Sponsored Accelerated Rural Water Supply Programme and Mini Mission districts under National Drinking Water Mission. The following financial provision was made and utilised for providing safe drinking water in 1,53,357 problem villages and 98074 partially covered villages during the Seventh Five Year Plan Period:

(Rs. in crores

	Provisions	Utilisation
Minimum Needs Programme	2525.41	2479.18
Technology Mission/Accelerated Rural Water Supply Programme	1922.35	1905.64
Total	4447.76	4384.82

(c) The provisional data received from the States shows that there were 3326 'No Source' problem villages as on 1-4-92.

(d) The original target fixed for coverage of 'No Source' Problem Villages (PVs) in 1991-92 was 2509 which was reduced to 2482. The provisional achievement reported by the States in 2007 PVs resulting in a shortfall of 475 PVs. The target fixed for 1992-93 is 3326 'No Source' PVs (Provisional) inclusive of shortfall in 1991-92. A special assistance of Rs. 190 crores has been allocated/released for coverage of 'No Source' PVs for 1992-93. A part of Normal Plan funds under Minimum Needs Programme and Accelerated Rural Water Supply Programme will also be utilised in 1992-93 for coverage of some of these 'No Source' Problem Villages. In 1991-92 Normal Plan funds were used for coverage of 'No Source' Problem Villages. The funds allotted in 1991-92 and 1992-93 for coverage of 'No Source' and Partially Covered villages are as follows:

(Rs. in crores)

	1991-92	1992-93
Minimum Needs Programme	744.49	931.27*
Accelerated Rural Water Supply Programme	390.00	390.00

*Provisional.

Statement

No. of No. Source' Problem Villages.

Sl. No.	States/Uts.	At the begining of VII Plan as on 1-4-85	Balance at the end of VII Plan, (31-3-90)
1	2	3	4
1.	Andhra Pradesh	15834	0
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	391	0
3.	Assam	9570	444

1	2	3	4
4.	Bihar	9199	44
5.	Goa	31	0
6.	Gujarat	4911	99
7.	Haryana	2314	171
8.	Himachal Pradesh	3539	1107
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	2959	905
10.	Karnataka	5410	0
11.	Kerala	88	1
12.	Madhya Pradesh	14714	146
13.	Maharashtra	5174	98
14.	Manipur	862	0
15.	Meghalaya	3658	1421
16.	Mizoram	595	68
17.	Nagaland	623	26
18.	Orissa	14443	1320
19.	Punjab	2254	948
20.	Rajasthan	7310	400
21.	Sikkim	121	7
22.	Tamil Nadu	4882	18
23.	Tripura	2893	130
24.	Uttar Pradesh	43906	1012
25.	West Bengal	5930	0
26.	Andaman & Nicobar	40	0
27.	Chandigarh	0	0
28.	D & N Haveli	0	0
29.	Daman & Diu	7	0
30.	Delhi	0	0
31.	Lakshadweep	11	0
32.	Pondicherry	53	0
		161722	8365